

United States Patent and Trademark Office

am

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,705 | 12/04/2001 | Hideki Fukano | P/3241-21 | 8623 |
| 2352 7 | 590 04/17/2003 | | | |
| OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403 | | | JACKSON JR, JEROME | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2815 | <u> </u> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003 | + |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/005,705 | FUKANO, HIDEKI | | | |
| Since Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication are | Jerome Jackson Jr. | 2815 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F | ebruary 2003 . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10,16 and 17</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro | ved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2815

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is vague and indefinite because "said surface" does not exactly refer back to one of the "top surface" or "end surface". Furthermore, it is not clear how the surface can extend beyond an "edge" of the same surface.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art admissions in view of Taki '510 and Konishi '210.

The previous rejection still applies. Claim 13 is vague and indefinite and as best understood does not structurally distinguish over the applied art. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as previously.

Claims 4-10, 16, 17, are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 10 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments regarding claim 13 are unconvincing since the claim structure is vague and indefinite. Arguments regarding claims 14 and 15 are unconvincing because the prior art teaches termination with an optical coupling agent having a refractive index of the appropriate index of refraction, for example 1.3-1.5. See column 7 of Konishi.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2815

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 703 308 4937. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lee can be reached on 703 308 4915. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

JJ April 16, 2003

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER